

**STATE ALLOCATION BOARD**

1130 K Street, Suite 400  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE MINUTES**

September 2, 2004

Legislative Office Building  
1020 N Street, Room 100  
Sacramento, CA

**Members Present**

Bruce Hancock, SAB	Dennis Dunston, CEFPI
Lori Morgan, OPSC	Constantine Baranoff, SSD (Afternoon only)
Fred Yeager, CDE	Richard Conrad, DSA (Alternate for Dennis Bellet)
Terry Bradley, CASH (Alternate for Dave Doomey)	John Palmer, CASBO
Beth Hamby, LAUSD	Debra Pearson, SSDA
Bill Cornelison, ACS	

**Members Absent**

Jay Hansen, SBCTC	Blake Johnson, DOF
Brian Wiese, AIA	Gary Gibbs, CBIA

The meeting was called to order at 9:37 a.m.; there were 11 members present and 4 absent. The minutes from the August 5, 2004 meeting were approved with a minor modification. The minutes were corrected to reflect the attendance of Terry Bradley, alternate for CASH.

The Chair also welcomed and acknowledged the presence of the two alternates; Terry Bradley for CASH and Richard Conrad for the Division of the State Architect.

It was announced that the primary topics of the next few Implementation Committee meetings would be focused on the development of new regulations pursuant to the Williams settlement legislation for January 2005 State Allocation Board (SAB) approval.

An inquiry was made by Beth Hamby of Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) regarding the feasibility of holding Implementation Committee meetings in Ontario. The Chair indicated that consideration would be given for the 2005 meetings.

**BIDDING CLIMATE REPORT**

This item was continued from the July and August Implementation Committee meeting. Staff members Tasha Adame, Janna Shaffer, and Melissa Ley presented this item. Staff's presentation focused on the change in the current Class B Index to Marshall and Swift Class B Index/8 California cities which best reflects construction costs in California. Staff will present the changes in regulation to the SAB at its September 2004 meeting, so that it could be in effect by January 2005. The audience discussed two methods to determine the grant amount from the Construction Cost Index (CCI) change. The first method was to recalculate the grant from 1998 to 2005 and the second method was to increase grant amount just beginning in 2005. It was determined that prior

to the September SAB the Office of School Construction (OPSC) will determine which method of calculation will occur.

Staff reported its findings regarding the Lee Saylor Subcontractor Index which is not considered to be a Class B index and was deemed inappropriate to pursue. Staff is considering developing a survey to better understand individual districts' issues to gain further insight on the bidding climate problems and how best to address them.

For the October IMP Committee meeting, Panama Bartholomy, DSA and Dennis Dunston, CEFPI will present information on project cost increases due to code change requirements especially relating the Americans with Disabilities Act and technology advancements. Lyle Smoot, LAUSD, indicated that the LAUSD staff would conduct a preliminary assessment of their project cost data to see if it was worth pursuing a study on general site costs.

### **ENROLLMENT PROJECTION AUGUMENTATION AND STUDENT YIELD FACTOR**

This item was continued from the July and August Implementation Committee meetings. Staff members Melissa Ley and Eric Bakke made the final presentation regarding the appropriate stopping point for reporting dwelling units on the *Enrollment Certification* (Form SAB 50-01). After discussion at the September Implementation Committee meeting, Staff concluded the two stopping point options were as follows:

1. *Permits Pulled, plus 12 months* – School districts would have an additional 12 months after the permit is pulled, in which to report dwelling units.
2. *Date of Occupancy* - School districts would have the ability to report dwelling units up until the date the dwelling unit is occupied.

All proposed regulatory changes will be presented to the September 2004 SAB.

### **PURCHASE AND CONVERSION OF NON-CONFORMING BUILDINGS FOR SCHOOL USE**

This item is continued from the July and August Implementation Committee meeting. The OPSC discussed proposed regulation changes that outlined the process and requirements for receipt of increased funding for projects involving retrofit of non-conforming buildings. The Chair commented on the complexity of the issues and the absence of real-life cases that could be used to test the proposed requirements. In addition, the future number of these projects is believed to be very small. Thus, the OPSC (with no objection from the Committee and the audience) concluded the discussion on this topic by proposing no change to current policy. Projects requiring higher funding amounts than provided by the current policy may be presented to the State Allocation Board via an appeal. In the future, it may be possible to develop regulations that govern the review and funding of such projects, if warranted, after the OPSC has had an opportunity to review several of these projects.

### **ADJOURNMENT AND NEXT MEETING**

The meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m. The next Implementation Committee meeting is scheduled for Thursday, October 1, 2004 at 9:30 a.m. and will be held at 1500 Capitol Avenue, Rooms 72.149B & 72.151A, Sacramento.